

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1/50 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION N	O	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/733,348		12/08/2000	Eric Schneider	2277	
24226	7590	04/03/2006		EXAM	INER
	CHNEIDER	-	PWU, JEFFREY C		
1730 SOU #104	JTH FEDEI	RAL HWY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DELRAY	DELRAY BEACH, FL 33483			2143	
				DATE MAILED: 04/03/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		SCHNEIDER, ERIC
Office Action Summary	09/733,348 Examiner	Art Unit
/	Jeffrey C. Pwu	2143
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		
Period for Reply	•	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. .136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11.	January 2006.	
,	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		-
closed in accordance with the practice under	<i>⊑x parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 О.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 41-56 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 41-56 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	cepted or b) objected t e drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawir	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112-1st

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the 2. written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The disclosure lacks clear written description in a description of a third marking including access information for accessing a locator service via a communication network to assist the sender in locating current contact information for the recipient. It is unclear how to facilitate this step using applicant's disclosure.
- Claims 42 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply 3. with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The disclosure lacks clear written description in a description on access information is selected from the group of telephone number, postal address, e-mail address, domain name, Uniform Resource Identifier and locator service identifier. It is unclear what is the relationship

Application/Control Number: 09/733,348 Page 3

Art Unit: 2143

between access information and telephone number or postal address or e-mail address or domain

name or Uniform Resource Identifier and/or locator service identifier.

Claims 43-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the

written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described

in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that

the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The disclosure lacks clear written description in a description on a third marking being marked

upon the mail piece at any time after said second marking is marked and before said mail carrier

returns the mail piece to said sender address. Nowhere in the specification discloses or describes

this feature. It s unclear of who or how or when to place a third marking.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112-2nd

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 42 and 49 recite the limitation "the group of "in claim 41. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2143

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 41-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sansone (U.S. 6,549,892).

Sansone teaches claims

Claim 41. A mail piece including a plurality of markings for delivery to a recipient from a sender, comprising:

a first marking including a sender address and a recipient address; (39)

a second marking indicating that the mail piece is undeliverable to the recipient; ("a mail piece may be marked "Undeliverable as Addressed" when in fact the address is correct. Sometimes this happens because of a mistake on the part of a Postal Service employee. Other times, the addressee may have provided a change of address shortly after having moved, almost ninety days earlier, and then a mailing company, without pre-screening its mailing addresses before addressing its mail, uses an address for which the forwarding instructions expire before the mail can be delivered. In that case, the Postal Service will mark the mail, correctly, as "Undeliverable as Addressed," and then the company's internal address database should be updated with current address information from, for example, the U.S. Postal Service National Change of Address (NCOA) database." At col.1, line 57) and,

a third marking including access information for accessing a locator service via a communication network to assist the sender in locating current contact information for the recipient. ("col.3, line

Art Unit: 2143

54 - Database 68 uses a unique code number to determine the actual destination that the recipient wants the mail deliver to.")

Claim 42. A mail piece, as set forth in claim 41, wherein said access information is selected from the group of telephone number, postal address, e-mail address, domain name, Uniform Resource Identifier and locator service identifier, the mail piece includes an envelope, and said selected access information is marked upon said envelope. (the access information is selected from postal address and locator service identifier)

Claim 43. A mail piece, as set forth in claim 41, wherein said second marking instructs a mail carrier to return the mail piece to said sender address. ("Letters or packages addressed to a correct recipient postal address are sometimes not delivered because the recipient may have moved and not yet completed a change-of-address form with the United States Postal Service (USPS). In that case, what likely would have happened is that the new resident of the address would have marked the mail "Not at this Address" and put it back in a mail box. However, since the Postal Service would have no better address, it would have marked the mail piece "Undeliverable as Addressed," endorsed the piece with "No Forwarding Address," and returned the mail piece to the sender. In this scenario, the piece of mail returned to the sender is marked "Undeliverable as Addressed," and is, in fact, undeliverable as addressed.")

Art Unit: 2143

Claim 45. A mail piece, as set forth in claim 41, wherein said sender address is a sender postal address and said recipient address is a recipient postal address. (see fig.3B)

Claim 46. A method for returning to a sender mail for a recipient, comprising the steps of: receiving mail including a sender address and a recipient address; determining that the mail is undeliverable to the recipient; adding to the mail access information for accessing a locator service via a communication network to assist the sender in locating current contact information for the recipient; and, delivering the mail to said sender address. (claim 46 is similarly rejected as in claims 41-43)

Claim 47. A method for returning to a sender mail for a recipient, as set forth in claim 46, wherein said sender address is a sender postal address and said recipient address is a recipient postal address. (claim 47 is similarly rejected as in claims 41-43)

Claim 48. A method for returning to a sender mail for a recipient, as set forth in claim 46, further including instructing a mail carrier to return the mail to said sender address. (claim 48 is similarly rejected as in claims 41-43)

Claim 49. A method for returning to a sender mail for a recipient, as set forth in claim 46, wherein said step of adding to said mail access information includes the step of selecting access information from the group of telephone number, postal address, e-mail address, domain name, Uniform Resource Identifier and locator service identifier.

Art Unit: 2143

Claim 50. A method for returning to a sender mail for a recipient, as set forth in claim 49, wherein said step of adding to said mail access information further includes the step of marking said access information upon said mail. (claim 50 is similarly rejected as in claims 41-45)

Claim 51. A method for returning to a sender mail for a recipient, as set forth in claim 49, wherein said step of adding to said mail access information further includes the step of stamping said access information upon said mail. (claim 51 is similarly rejected as in claims 41-43)

Claim 52. A method for returning to a sender mail for a recipient, as set forth in claim 49, wherein said step of adding to said mail access information further includes the step of inking said access information upon said mail. (claim 52 is similarly rejected as in claims 41-43)

Claim 53. A method for returning to a sender mail for a recipient, as set forth in claim 50, wherein the mail includes an envelope and said step of marking said access information upon said mail includes the step of marking said access information upon said envelope. (claim 53 is similarly rejected as in claims 41-43)

Claim 54. A method for returning to a sender mail for a recipient, as set forth in claim 51, wherein the mail includes an envelope and said step of stamping said access information upon said mail includes the step of stamping said access information upon said envelope.

Claim 55. A method for returning to a sender mail for a recipient, asset forth in claim 52, wherein the mail includes an envelope and said step of inking said access information upon said mail includes the step of inking said access information upon said envelope. (claim 55 is similarly rejected as in claims 41-43)

Claim 56. A method for returning to a sender mail for a recipient, as set forth in claim 46, wherein the mail includes an envelope and said step of adding to said mail access information includes the step of placing an advertisement for a locator service upon said envelope. (claim 56 is similarly rejected as in claims 41-43)

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 41-56 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey C. Pwu whose telephone number is 571-272-6798. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2143

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 9

JEFFREY PWU PRIMARY EXAMINE